1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTOINETTE CINCOTTA, State Bar No. 120483 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
2			
3			
4	San Diego, CA 92101		
5	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
6			
7			
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	DEFADE THE		
10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2010-12	
13	JAN SVOBODA		
14	3204 Sweetwater Road Lemon Grove, California 91945	ACCUSATION	
15	Registered Nurse License No. RN 589895		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Ruth Ann Terry, M.P.H., R.N. ("Complainant") alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the		
21	Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing ("Board"), Department of Consumer		
22	Affairs.		
23	<u>License History</u>		
24	2. On or about October 22, 2001.	, the Board issued Registered Nurse	
25	License Number RN 589895 ("license") to Jan Svoboda ("Respondent"). The license was in full		
26	force and effective at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on June 30,		
27	2011, unless renewed.		
28	<i>III</i>		

#### **JURISDICTION**

	3.	Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in	
pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a			
temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with Code			
section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.			

4. Code section 2764 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under Code section 2811, subdivision (b), the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.

#### **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

5. Code section 2761 states, in pertinent part:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, . . .
- 6. Code section 2762 states, in pertinent part:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

- (a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.
- (e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section.

#### **COST RECOVERY**

7. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or

///

violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### 8. **DRUGS**

"Percocet," a brand name for Oxycodone, is a Schedule II controlled substance, as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(N).

"Versed," a brand name for Midazolam, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1).

"Norco," a brand name for **Hydrocodone**, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(1)(j) and a dangerous drug per Business and Professions Code section 4022.

"Ativan," a brand name for Lorazepam, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(16), and a dangerous drug per Business and Professions Code section 4022.

"Valium," a brand name for Diazepam, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code Section 11057(d)(9), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Incorrect and/or Inconsistent Entries in Hospital and/or Patient Records)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined in Code section 2762, subdivision (e), in that while on employed as a registered nurse at Sharp Memorial Hospital in San Diego, California from April 3, 2006 until his termination on August 4, 2006, Respondent made grossly incorrect or grossly inconsistent entries in hospital and/or patient records, as follows:

#### Patient 1 # 40576862

a. On April 14, 2006, at 1708 and 1900 hours, each time, Respondent withdrew two Vicodin tablets from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. At 1700 hours and 1900 hours, each time, Respondent charted the administration of the two tablets of Vicodin

in the patient's Medication Administration Record. However, Respondent's administration of the Vicodin tablets at *two* hour intervals was inconsistent with the physician's orders, which prescribed Vicodin administration at *three* hour intervals.

#### Patient 2 #40633690

b. On June 25, 2006, at 1239 hours, Respondent withdrew 5 mgs. of Versed from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. At 1240 hours, Respondent charted the administration of 1 mg. of Versed in the patient's Medication Administration Record. However, Respondent failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the remaining 4 mgs. of Versed in any patient record or hospital record.

## Patient 3 #40656001

c. On July 14, 2006, at 1210 hours, Respondent withdrew a 5 mg tablet of Diazepam from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient without a physician's order. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Diazepam in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Diazepam in any patient record or hospital record.

#### Patient 6 #40638323

- d. On June 23, 2006, at 1932 hours, Respondent withdrew 4 mgs of Morphine from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. At 1940 hours, Respondent charted the administration of 3 mgs of Morphine in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the remaining 1 mg of Morphine in any patient record or hospital record.
- e. On June 23, 2006, at 1934 hours, Respondent withdrew 2 mgs of Lorazepam from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Lorazepam in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Lorazepam in any patient record or hospital record.
- f. On June 23, 2006, at 2006 hours, Respondent withdrew 10 mgs of Morphine from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the

administration of the Morphine in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Morphine in any patient record or hospital record.

- g. On June 23, 2006, at 2007 hours, Respondent withdrew 2 mgs of Lorazepam from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Lorazepam in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Lorazepam in any patient record or hospital record.
- h. On June 23, 2006, at 2148 hours, Respondent withdrew 2 mgs of Lorazepam from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Lorazepam in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Lorazepam in any patient record or hospital record.
- i. On June 23, 2006, at 2149 hours, Respondent withdrew 4 mgs of Morphine from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. At 2200 hours, Respondent charted the administration of 3 mgs of Morphine in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent failed to account for wastage or disposition of the remaining 1 mg of Morphine in any patient record or hospital record.
- j. On June 24, 2006, at 0033 hours, Respondent withdrew two 5 mg tablets of Hydrocodone (Norco) from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Hydrocodone in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Hydrocodone in any patient record or hospital record.
- k. On June 24, 2006, at 0037 hours, Respondent again withdrew two 5 mg tablets of Hydrocodone (Norco) from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Hydrocodone in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Hydrocodone in any patient record or hospital record.

///

1. On June 24, 2006, at 0030 hours, Respondent again withdrew two 5 mg tablets of Hydrocodone (Norco) from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Hydrocodone in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Hydrocodone in any patient record or hospital record.

#### Patient 7 #40628693

m. On June 16, 2006, at 0912 hours, Respondent withdrew 2 mgs of Morphine from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Morphine in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Morphine in any patient record or hospital record.

n. On June 16, 2006, at 0952 hours, Respondent withdrew two 5 mg tablets of Hydrocodone (Norco) from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Hydrocodone in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Hydrocodone in any patient record or hospital record.

# Patient 8 #40619471

- o. On June 16, 2006, at 0936 hours, Respondent withdrew one 5 mg tablet of Oxycodone (Percocet) from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Oxycodone in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Oxycodone in any patient record or hospital record.
- p. On June 16, 2006, at 1401 hours, Respondent withdrew two 5 mg tablets of Oxycodone (Percocet) from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Oxycodone in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Oxycodone in any patient record or hospital record.

# Patient 9 #40640317

q. On July 6, 2006, at 2050 hours, Respondent withdrew two 5 mg tablets of Hydrocodone (Norco) from the hospital's Pyxis machine for this patient. Respondent did not chart the administration of the Hydrocodone in the patient's Medication Administration Record. Respondent also failed to account for the wastage or disposition of the Hydrocodone in any patient record or hospital record.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unprofessional Conduct - Unlawfully Obtaining/Possessing Controlled Substances and/or Dangerous Drugs)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined in Code section 2762, subdivision (a), in that while employed as a registered nurse at Sharp Memorial Hospital in San Diego, California, Respondent obtained or possessed dangerous drugs in violation of the law as set forth in paragraph 11, above, which is incorporated here by this reference.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number RN 589895
   issued to Jan Svoboda;
- 2. Ordering Jan Svoboda to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and,
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 719109

Executive Officer
Board of Registered Nursing
Department of Consumer A ff

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant